

Interview Summary	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	10/551,537	VONDRACEK ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	JIE YANG	1793	

All participants (applicant, applicant's representative, PTO personnel):

(1) JIE YANG. (3) Gary R. Edwards.

(2) _____. (4) _____.

Date of Interview: 31 March 2010.

Type: a) ☐ Telephonic b) ☐ Video Conference
c) ☒ Personal [copy given to: 1) ☐ applicant 2) ☒ applicant's representative]

Exhibit shown or demonstration conducted: d) ☐ Yes e) ☒ No.
If Yes, brief description: _____.

Claim(s) discussed: 14 and 26.

Identification of prior art discussed: US'226 and NPL-1.

Agreement with respect to the claims f) ☐ was reached. g) ☐ was not reached. h) ☐ N/A.

Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was agreed to if an agreement was reached, or any other comments: The Applicant presents the proposal amendments on the insatnt claims. The Examiner agrees that the additional structure limitations, for example, with a proportional of fine austenite crystal decreasing from the marginal zone toward the core region is not taught in the recorded prior arts. The Applicant re-writing the objected claim 14, which is now allowable.

(A fuller description, if necessary, and a copy of the amendments which the examiner agreed would render the claims allowable, if available, must be attached. Also, where no copy of the amendments that would render the claims allowable is available, a summary thereof must be attached.)

THE FORMAL WRITTEN REPLY TO THE LAST OFFICE ACTION MUST INCLUDE THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. (See MPEP Section 713.04). If a reply to the last Office action has already been filed, APPLICANT IS GIVEN A NON-EXTENDABLE PERIOD OF THE LONGER OF ONE MONTH OR THIRTY DAYS FROM THIS INTERVIEW DATE, OR THE MAILING DATE OF THIS INTERVIEW SUMMARY FORM, WHICHEVER IS LATER, TO FILE A STATEMENT OF THE SUBSTANCE OF THE INTERVIEW. See Summary of Record of Interview requirements on reverse side or on attached sheet.

	/JieYang/
--	-----------